

INVENTORS.

PART 13.

Through out this book I have been dealing with all the problems which I have faced over the years by so called experts – greed – insults, threats and burglary.


I have exposed the evil and the good which I have endured over time.

This section of the book is no exception the evil will be exposed as always – for the truth will be known to all.

Unfortunate for those who think that using false names will not locate them the computer they use gives a fingerprint out when used on line – this fingerprint helps us to find the criminal – I know at this time one server is in Bombay, India and one in London – thus if the matter needs to be taken further the person can be located by name and address.

Now I shall start this section with an entirely new document due to the present day situation has warranted the need to expose another truly sick mind and is being written for the medical and legal bodies to study.

DOC.SISRC-MED-MS-1-I-13.
DATE: 26.09.2007.
SUBJECT: MENTAL ILLNESS.
EDITION: FIRST.



SUBJECT: Spilt personality.
DOMAIN: Human studies and behaviour patterns.
AUTHOR: Prof. John Roy Robert Searl.
POSITION: Head of research and development.

- 1: This document is intended for Psychiatrist and mental nursing staff to study and any of the legal domains to study as a good example of what inventors have to endure through their life work for the betterment of all mankind. I trust that you will appreciate the sadness in this person's life, more sad because he is one who will benefit from the success of this research and development.

2: I will first present three e-mails which I have received from a person which says it all that you need to know about this person to arrive at his condition – study them very careful they tell you so much about this person who voice this insanity onslaught upon myself, yet he is not aware of who he is talking too.

>From: "Jake Chambers" <spin.glass@lycos.com>
>To: <ukpolice333@hotmail.com>
>Subject: Wish to make a donation (John Stearl's Fine Efforts)
>Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2007 16:35:53 -0400 (EDT)
>
>Thought that would get yer attention.
>You.. you pond-scum. Read on, please do, got the balls? Got the conscience?
>I wanna reach someone involved in this ugly sham, might restore my faith in
>humanity. A little.
>It's people like you who give all those well intentioned crackpot inventors
>and fruitcases searching for over-unity, free energy, PMM machines,
>whatever the hell you wanna call it today, a bad name.
>My first instinct on seeing your site was to send you an a slightly moody
>e-mail. I'm fucking furious after watching your youtube videos and wading
>through that cess-pit of misdirection and hearsay that is your website(s).
>I mean taking advantage of poor dumbasses who don't know elementary
>physics... it's just, goddammit, this is why people won't trust erherm
>breakthroughs of science.
>I think I developed stomach ulcers reading through the commentary and
>debate,
>I'm pretty sure I blacked out from a stroke brought about by rage reading
>your 'explanations' and never-ending excuses;
> "...er, yeah engineering that's what it is, delay in materials, we're
>doing our special super-secret ultra-complex magnetisation printing, it
>y'know takes a while and we can't show you how it works, or er, a flux map
>or er, well.. anything",
> "...oh that machine, THAT MACHINE.. er it's just a mock-up for y'know..
>umm gathering data for the patent that we're not going to apply for.."
> "share the technical data, well we er can't 'cause there's umm not
>going to be a patent and well, y'see although this device is going to be
>for the good of humanity we er don't want anyone else to know how it works.
>Or the theory it's based on. Except in the vaguest sort of way, here look
>at the pretty 3D animation!! Isn't that good? That's right little sheeple,
>your worries are over, no more global warming, lay your poor gullible heads
>on our charlatan laps."
>Of course the real clincher, the big momma of convincers, the real stench
>of bullshit emanates from the fact you let that idiot BeamshipTwonk spew
>forth his rancid brew of botched physics - that guy believes everything
>that's had an abstract pinned to it - anyone with any real substance to
>their 'invention' would have nothing to do with that moron.
>However, and this is a big however, I do enjoy thinking of physics at the
>microscopic level (but why all the scepticism? I got a good sense of smell,
>and I caught much more than a faint hint of bullshit) and although I only
>got a modest formal education in physics and maths its enough of a
>foundation to work with if you think with yer head and don't get caught up
>in lollypop and rainbow land; as armchair physicists and our current group
>(crowd? congregation? huge and unendingly idiotic mass?) of internet
>Einstein's do. I swear if I hear one more revived Luminiferous Aether
>theory, or some wannabe poindexter trying to point out the flaws in
>relativity I will crack.. still, amateur physics and you con artists are
>like a ten car pile up on the motorway, you know you shouldn't look but
>dammit you really just can't help yerself. SLAM there's the eleventh.
>So go on, I challenge you charlatans, you dilettantes of bullshitting and
>blaggery, make me the eleventh car in this pile up I can't help but watch.
>Send me a flux map of your plate (rolled flat and from a bird's eye view),
>one of your centre palette and a bird's eye of the whole 'demo' model as

>it stands, as well as your projected completed on. Just some snapshot
 >instants, no animations, that's what a brain is for. The rollers are simple
 >enough magnetically, hell, I got some of those sitting round the house.
 >If you manage to convince me I'll prove one hell of a mind to have on yer
 >team, even over the 'net. But hell that's just an incentive for you to try,
 >let's see the quality of yer bullshit. Goggles and nose clips on boys, make
 >it a good 'un.
 >Ahh yes, in parting. Perhaps I have been harsh and you really do believe
 >your own hype, but somehow I doubt that. I think yer doing this for kicks,
 >"hell, enough people have bought in, why not keep going and see where this
 >experimenting thang leads us.. damn, the thing might even work..."
 >Still doesn't excuse faulty thinking and idealistic science, serendipity
 >and vision is one thing (well, two) but crackpottery and mental goose
 >chases are another (two things) entirely.
 >I'm going down the bookies, see if they'll take a bet on your device being
 >bogus... all I need to do is get em hooked on yer bandwagon and I'm sure
 >they'll take the bet, hell, if they fall for it good 'n' proper I'll get
 >great friggin odds.
 >A soon to be rich man (who says gambling doesn't pay.. although this ain't
 >much of a gamble), not expecting a reply but still, that car crash is
 >something.. I think i can see some blood...
 >PS you refer me to any of those bullshit websites or any online material
 >freely available and that'll be the final confirmation of your bullshit
 >credentials - Prof in Codology and a Doctorate in Blaggalistics. On the
 >infinitesimal chance that you're for real (or have convinced yourself you
 >are) I have absolutely zero interest in trying to make one of yer
 >puzzle-me-this riddle-me-that generators, I just wanna see what sort of
 >rosy conceit you're building your 'experiments' on, and what you're
 >PHYSICAL explanation for the machine (*cough*brushless motor*cough*) is -
 >we're talking diagrams, not words.

3: Yes that is number one, untouched by me for you to witness his grammar and spelling – rather like the first sick person in Australia who hit at me from the first video clip shown on you tube.com. He used a false name and thought the police could not find him.

4: Now the second e-mail from this sick mind.

>From: "Jake Chambers" <spin.glass@lycos.com>
 >To: "John Searl" <ukpolice333@hotmail.com>
 >Subject: RE: Wish to make a donation (John Stearl's Fine Efforts)
 >Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2007 10:20:40 -0400 (EDT)
 >
 >Dear Fruitcakes, nice reply. First thing, thanks for reading the e-mail, I
 >appreciate that, especially since at least half of it was denouncement of
 >your con-artistry. Please, read on though, I've had my rant at you, and
 >your reply deserves it being put in context.
 >
 >
 >Haha *manic laugh* (that's irony for you btw), I ain't insane and I've
 >already spent the worst of my anger - there's plenty more charlatans where
 >you came from in the Free Energy department.
 >Evil as Adolf Hitler? Are you kidding? My assumption is that you are a
 >bunch of charlatans - as would any reasonable person with a fair foundation
 >in physics (or indeed an acquaintance with scientific method) be wont to
 >assume. And working under that assumption I found it nigh on impossible to
 >quell my anger, seeing you dangle the carrot of free energy in front of the
 >increasingly energy desperate humans.
 >I've seen so many cons, some obvious, some not. And also some greyness - it
 >seems these things are always 'almost ready' and then after people have
 >been waiting for a while the inventor(s), prototype(s) and plans suddenly

>disappear in a cloud of shadowy government conspiracy/rumbled con artist style.

>I said to you before that I enjoy exploring the boundaries of physics myself (you know Einstein started everything off by imagining riding on a beam of light.. nice image, but I digress) however I DO NOT SUFFER FOOLS OR LIARS GLADLY.

>Your scam, it's the best one I've seen yet - I spent a good amount of time reading through youtube commentary, bit of the history from your sites and third party ones. Very entertaining if it wasn't for the amount of harm faking these things does, or even fooling yourself (and anyone on yer bandwagon) into thinking that what you're doing will work. The thing just reeks of bluffing - I know how easy that is to pull off on most people and I smells pseudoscience.

>Your short but sweet reply just adds weight to my charlatans and con artists theory. I'd love for you to reply to technical questions with some substance instead of 'oh er, if you were smart, if you could think the right way you could er understand it. So read this stuff.' I have read the 'theories' behind it in as much detail as I care - a three-phase electric motor can be explained to someone of reasonable intelligence who knows nothing about electricity or magnetism quite easily.

>So my request is three-level, this will either serve as good practice for scamming people of reasonable intelligence. If you can answer question 1 SATISFACTORILY you will be top-level scammers, or more likely the real deal. Question 2, good scammers, or perhaps the real thing. Question 3, well it'd be a small step-up from the 'evidence' I've seen proffered so far.

>1. So I'm asking you to explain the theory of your 'device' and without referring to 'instable' magnetic fields - describe these fields to me. I'm not offering you my take on how it works, because I don't it does, but also because I want to hear how you're explaining it to yourself.

>2. [from the previous e-mail, more or less]

- >â€¢ Flux-map of the static field on the plates, unrolled and from above.
- >â€¢ Flux-map of the proposed magnetic interactions of a two-plate machine.
- >â€¢ Flux-map of the proposed magnetic interactions of the finished machine.

>3. You claim your machine is working with a constant current to the coils that are substituting for the second ring/plate. If this is the case (of which no proof is offered, no wiring diagram, no anything) then you have a reasonably interesting set-up - however if this is the case, what prevents you from using permanent magnets?

>If you are relying on back EMF then how would a plate replicate that?

>As it is I doubt that you have a satisfactory answer to any of these

>because I suspect that you're using a rotating field, or at the very least pulsing the coils. Which is nothing to write home about.

>NB: Satisfactory is not,

>"Read the material again, you'll understand it if you think about it."

>"The unique quality of the unstable magnetic fields allows the magnets to find no rest point.

>"References to links of extra reading material already online."

>I'll remind you again of my example of how you could explain a brushless motor to somebody with them having no previous knowledge, if your technology is genuine, doing the same for it should present no problem to you

>You happened to be the people that I snapped at, I've read a good few of these free-energy scams and the fact that yours was so convincing - up to a point - just enraged me that bit more, and I couldn't just leave it without confronting the people behind it again. It just seems so damn low what some people do for attention, you're not the worst of them, that honour goes to Steorn - that stunt definitely started with a pub-bet!

>Anyways, all the best with the fabrication,

>"You make it through all that? Well, I have to congratulate you on your commitment. Gonna send me that stuff? Or gonna duck out 'cause you've been

>rumbled (again)?"

- 5: Yes, it is hard to believe that such a fool exists today – but I feel certain you can see his problem – such an onslaught mostly come from a loss – either of money or image – or no job – or a family who nags him all the time.
- 6: It could be money that was what created the first person to attack me – but that money had nothing to do with me – that was Brain Collins of Australia affair – so he hit the wrong man.
- 7: ***I think that a bloody good public spanking would help to cure his temper – you see I am not involved in any FREE ENGERY project; never have been and never will need to be.***

That is a FACT; I have and always will be associated with clean energy systems that will benefit this planet as a whole and not any select group or individual – to these target things are now recovering from the last robbery and am moving into place at an extremely high level of operation.

- 8: I will now release the third e mail from this nut case:

>From: "Jake Chambers" <spin.glass@lycos.com>
>To: "John Searl" <ukpolice333@hotmail.com>
>Subject: RE: Wish to make a donation (John Stearl's Fine Efforts)
>Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2007 11:25:51 -0400 (EDT)
>
>Dear Sir/Madman,
>Ahh the veiled threat of "I'll get the coppers." Well I must say that my
>boots have been soundly quaked.
>You pass all your e-mails on to the police department? Odd behaviour. Or is
>it that you get a lot of e-mails from people that see through your claim?
>Either way, it doesn't make much difference to me.
>If you'd be so kind, please take the time to read this much shorter e-mail.
>An excerpt from our previous piece of correspondance follows;
>So my request is three-level, this will either serve as good practice for
>scamming people of reasonable intelligence. If you can answer question 1
>SATISFACTORILY you will be top-level scammers, or more likely the real
>deal. Question 2, good scammers, or perhaps the real thing. Question 3,
>well it'd be a small step-up from the 'evidence' I've seen proffered so far.
>1. So I'm asking you to explain the theory of your 'device' and without
>referring to 'instable' magnetic fields - describe these fields to me.
>I'm not offering you my take on how it works, because I don't it does, but
>also because I want to hear how you're explaining it to yourself.
>2. [from the previous e-mail, more or less]
>â€¢ Flux-map of the static field on the plates, unrolled and from above.
>â€¢ Flux-map of the proposed magnetic interactions of a two-plate
>machine.
>â€¢ Flux-map of the proposed magnetic interactions of the finished
>machine.
>3. You claim your machine is working with a constant current to the coils
>that are substituting for the second ring/plate. If this is the case (of
>which no proof is offered, no wiring diagram, no anything) then you have
>a reasonably interesting set-up - however if this is the case, what
>prevents you from using permanent magnets?
>If you are relying on back EMF then how would a plate replicate that?
>As it is I doubt that you have a satisfactory answer to any of these
>because I suspect that you're using a rotating field, or at the very
>least pulsing the coils. Which is nothing to write home about.
>NB: Satisfactory is not,
>"Read the material again, you'll understand it if you think about it."

>"The unique quality of the unstable magnetic fields allows the magnets to
>find no rest point.
>"References to links of extra reading material already online."
>I'll remind you again of my example of how you could explain a brushless
>motor to somebody with them having no previous knowledge, if your
>technology is genuine, doing the same for it should present no problem to
>you.
>Please respond to that, I wish no outright denial or admittance of sham
>artistry, but a response to my reasonable request. I am afraid I can't be
>as sycophantic as many that contact you, however, well, I've made my
>current opinion on what's going on there clear.
>As always, I wait with barely contained glee for your well thought-out,
>clear and insightful (or should that be inciteful?) reply,
>Jake Chambers

Subject : RE: Wish to make a donation (John Stearl's Fine Efforts)
> Date : Fri, 21 Sep 2007 15:51:19 +0100
>
> From : "John Searl" <ukpolice333@hotmail.com>
> To : spin.glass@lycos.com

>I am afraid that as usual you are greatly mistaken I never bothered to read
>your e mail or this one; I would not waste the time reading it I just pass
>it on to the police department.

>
>
>
>
>

>>From: "Jake Chambers"
>>To: "John Searl"

- 9: Yes it is true once I notice it was a mentally ill person I stopped reading it and forwarded on to other sections to file, because when we are ready the person will be arrested and charged; not by just one person but a number of top rank personal. And it was not open because of a insane statement of a donation; it was open as all the other hundreds of e mails that I get, which I answer as soon as possible which normally bring a reply back that they were surprise to get a reply so quick and directly from me – out of thousands of e mails which I receive, this e-mail thank heaven is the only insane person who has written to me, that I have answered only because I had the message and being a human being – which clearly he is not – I replied.

That last one the law told me not to bother to answer it, and waste my time on him; when I have so many other important things to do. Which is logic as a mentally ill person is not capable of understanding TRUTH and therefore he is just showing off to the world how ill he is. So for now I shall let him stew in his own shit for the time being.

- 10: So who is this evil person on youtube?
- 11: Peter who master minded the last robbery – yes he could write such crap – but there are fingerprints in that crap which suggest its not him – he has big problem there will be a court case on that robbery of my property coming up he don't need to add to it.
- 12: Martin and Ken would they do it – could do- but then they have a problem when they come before the courts on this robbery they don't need any other problems to add to it, if they were the guilty ones doing it; they must be truly insane – but they are too clever as con men to gamble on such an

attack winning.

- 13: The attacks on youtube.com upon me personally; which you have read of flowerbower is clearly a grudge – why?
- 14: I do not think is finance related – therefore it must be image related – lost of image – could very well be with a spilt personality, fire up such an evil attack upon another person in public.
- 15: The question is who would be so insane to create such insane statements on an international mode of communication – does he really believe that every one who looks at youtube are nutcases – insane – have no intelligence – no education except him – how sick can a mind be to believe what he says is from an expert – expert yes only of bullshit – to that I would award him a degree of insanity.
- 16: Who has an image that a mockup demonstration on youtube. com could displace him from its high imaginary image of importance to a much lower level. A mock up which is now generating energy that is being tapped and measured, which has now been undertaken to prove that what I stated about that mock up was indeed true. Thus now that mock up shown to investors can see that even a device not designed to produce electricity – it still does.
- 17: A suitable candidate would be one from a university – and of cause there is one – I now know enough about Flowerbower to know who he is.
- 18: Universities do not take kindly to members who disgrace them in public places – so it may turn out that Dr. D – yes I know you may shortly find him self on the job seeking list. We all hope so the sooner the better.

No doubt some kind official will inform the university upon this matter for them to check it out; again the sooner the better.

- 19: 13th October 1988 Flowerbower can you remember what happen upon that day?

I shall refresh your mind – Luis Jarrío collected me from 13 Blackburn, London and drove me up to Pebble Mill Television studios in the Midlands – now you are getter the message.

To record an interview for Daytime live do you remember that?

When such a television program is planned they tell the party involved of the plan to be – in my case they came to me to say that they had a physicist from Manchester University who will pull my story apart and they wanted me to come back fighting.

As you all know I shall certainly tell you the truth because I have it recorded and it will be shown upon this site not only that: Flowerbower; unknown to you one of the witnesses at that interview had a discussion with me upon what you stated which will also be shown on this site – I think all psychiatrist will clearly understand why I say that you are suffering from a spilt personality.

- 20: Let me remind my readers of who was involved in that Daytime live shown here by photos taken from that Television program as I love people to know the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth – so let the war begin!
- 21: Flowerbower started it, and I am determined to finish it with his sacking from the University for Defamation of character, slander – libel.



22:

The man in the middle is the interviewer name Alan Titchmarsh, you do remember that Flowerbower after all you were impressing him with your expertise.



Here Dr. D was demonstrating first the disc to which Alan turned it into a laugh. Then discussed that static device how it would put Pebble Mill of the air for some time if he demonstrated it. It appears to my mind that Alan notice that I was not interrupting Dr. D but smiling to what he was saying, so he played along with Dr. D and from my point of view he was conducting himself extremely well and giving a interesting report on ancient technology – but did not really knocked me down therefore there was no reason to go to town on him. But sit back and enjoy his words’

Let me make it clear that he never knew who I really was as I was presented to him as a non scientific person to get him to yap off his head, unfortunate he had meet me just before that interview, and he only had old papers of scientists reports which he thought I wrote.



- 23: Those present were mostly women with few men who no doubt had no job – no one there who would be in any position to understand about this work was present – clearly there was no situation in place that warrants me to be aggressive in any way with Dr. D. He was dressed well and spoke well and funny I too enjoyed his presentation so much that I just let him roll on.
- 24: October 28th 1988: That interview was broadcast; and surprised I had calls from someone who had also been on an interview show with him present and he pulled them to bits, and they did not like him – interesting details.
- 25: Psychiatrist here I have presented; is one side of his personality, and what you have been seeing on Youtube is his other personality extremely evil personality, why such massive change if he has never been insulted by me in public domain.
- 26: I can only assume that his image at work has dropped in status over my mock up demonstration on youtube when he started his evil attack upon me – because he stated on Daytime live that he would eat his words if he saw a demonstration of my generator – I feel that his workmates have been pulling his leg about it.
- 27: He claimed to Alan that he was a physicist – since he started his evil attack upon me he has been given tests questions to certify his real knowledge and this is just two points discovered from his own statements in reply to them.

- (1) He appears to have very little knowledge on the subject of electromagnetism.
- (2) Upon Maxwell's second law which he claimed S.E.G breaks he has no knowledge

(3) He gets extremely nasty each time you talk about the advantage of the S.E.G.

- 28: The question now arises as to what his position at the university is; his claim he has made is that he is in charge of checking out technical papers for crap. Well that is for the legal boys to check out and that results will be made known in this report I trust as an update upon him.
- 29: Today, 27th September 2007 I checked out Manchester University for names of important people they have on their staff across all their divisions, strange I do not see him listed anywhere – thus upon that evidence he certainly has no importance at all at their departments, unless he is a lavatory cleaner, which certainly suits his behavior pattern on youtube – I do not know if there is a degree for such position in universities – but maybe, diarrhea is part of the physicist criteria – as it was in my medical studies.
- 30: Just to please that evil mind I will try to help him to understand what an asshole he is making of him self – that is the term from those who send me e mails upon his behavior on youtube – so let's look at youtube statements.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (3 hours ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

+1 

(Reply) (Spam)

Prof. Searl's has an amazing ability to works out his versions of the squares. Anybody can refer to his tables in his books THE LAW OF SQUARES. I can confirm that on book#2 he solves up to square 25. By the way, he does them all manually by hand without computers, which he often demonstrates on lectures. Yes they are available are at the SEARLEFFECT site store.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (3 hours ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

+1 

(Reply) (Spam)

After giving a lecture at the University of Munich Germany on March 12,1989, the University officials were so impressed with John's knowledge of the mathematical process named "The Law of the Squares", that they presented him an HONORARY PROFESSORSHIP with the title- PROFESSOR OF MATHEMATICAL STRUCTURES OF CREATION AND ENERGY.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (4 hours ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

+1 

(Reply) (Spam)

What are you stupid now? You (flowerbower) came across as an expert idiot, now clearly you are less than that. I just informed you that it takes the resource of power and manufacturing plant the likes of MEB. In the case of the SEG, it is a very difficult generator to build but well worth it. It requires the proper facilities to be in place first and not the other way around. To assume otherwise, means you have still much to learn about this matter or you're just living in the world of fantasy.

[flowerbower](#) (8 hours ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1 

(Reply) (Spam)

So why then does he never 'put up'? When is that second ring coming? Why is it always 'jam tomorrow'. Real innovators first prove that something is possible, and THEN seek funding. Only conmen form companies, strike deals and issue fake brochures BEFORE providing any proof. Google "Dennis Lee".

[flowerbower](#) (9 hours ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1 

(Reply) (Spam)

I seem to recall that Searl writes somewhere that he has calculated magic squares up to 'level 10'. Perhaps you could ask him what the 'magic number' of a 10x10 square is, and how many different ones are possible. UC, I wonder whether he has really 'done the work' or whether it is just another lie.

He is greatly out of date I have actually done right up to square 100 before the public at my lectures live. So he is not so clever after all upon knowledge of my life.

Everything he states after reading my statements on www.swallowcommand.com shows clear a lack of scientific facts, yet he claims to be a physicist – surely that gives an image of some one living in a world of fantasy – not

In the world of reality – the only one who is stupid enough to talk out of his arse in public?

[flowerbower](#) (9 hours ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

The 'Law of the Squares' is numerological drivel. The very fact that you put any store in it immediately marks you out as being a loony-tune of the first order (as if all of your other posts had not already proved it!).

[flowerbower](#) (9 hours ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

DePalma, Tewari, Schauburger, See and Russell are/were crackpots. Please do not mention Faraday, Dirac and Prigogine in the same paragraph. Real scientists can spot real science. All that pseudoscientists can do is list names.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (1 day ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

This is not the first time Searl been told to put up or shut up about his ideas. Midland Electricity Board (MEB) in Birmingham in the early 1950s, he got the option to use all of the company's facilities, heavy equipment, electrical power, technicians and engineers to prove his ideas, which made it all possible for him. Clearly, Prof. Searl will never back down (and never for flowerbower) until perhaps when he has regained all of those resources of the 1950s that make it all possible.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (1 day ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

+1  

(Reply) (Spam)

Now what rational scientists would support a concept far too advanced of its present time? Scientist and Scholars of the 1800s would surely make Flowerbower laughable if he were to be so bold as to talk about what is "possible" with lets say cars, plane and rockets of present-day. Every generation has deeply entranced mentalities plaguing great innovators that dare to advance to much present-day science and technology.

[Beamshipcaptain](#) (1 day ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

The fact that devices have been and are built that tap the zero-point, or tachyon-sea, or Dirac-Sea, or Fermi-Sea are NOT extraordinary, they are confirmations of theoretical-math for 75-years. May exists, I have seen and touched some with my own two hands; Google: the Bohm-Ahranov Effect.

[Beamshipcaptain](#) (1 day ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

Of course. The Homopolar-effect (discovered by Faraday) is only PART of the effect. SPIN is what connects an object or sub-atomic particle to its surroundings. The DePalma's N machine and Tewari's are such similar SPACE-ENERGY tappers, or Zero-Point, as it is called in century-21. I nvoke Viktor Schauburger, TJJ See, Walter Russell, AP Dirac, and Ilya Prigogine, 1977 Nobel Prize-winner for Chemistry.

[flowerbower](#) (9 hours ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

DePalma, Tewari, Schauburger, See and Russell are/were crackpots. Please do not mention Faraday, Dirac and Prigogine in the same paragraph. Real scientists can spot real science. All that pseudoscientists can do is list names.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (2 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

+1  

(Reply) (Spam)

Do I have to remind you that the world does not revolve around your opinion? I can assure you of that and further more you have no proof that is not possible. Until someone actually builds a prototype accordingly, it should stand until proven otherwise or we will never now know for sure.

Yes I agree with the response that is taking place to flowerbower statements – please note that at no time have I put any statement on youtube in response: as my replies are here on www.swallowcommand.com – all video clips on youtube are produce by others who are involved in the work – thus are independent reports – so what you see is reality – not fantasy – but hard work – finance and time consuming efforts of good people who interest lies in helping to create a better world for all mankind regardless.

[flowerbower](#) (1 day ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

It does not revolve around 'my' opinion; I just happen to be of the same opinion as every other rational scientist in the world. Who is on Searl's side? Rentacrank! That is, 'the usual suspects': Bearden, Valone, Aspden, etc. Searl has made an extraordinary claim; it is up to him to provide extraordinary evidence - or shut up.

[Beamshipcaptain](#) (1 day ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

There is an extraordinary claim. It has been well-established for 80-years that the vacuum is seething with potential energy, waiting to be tapped by such OPEN-systems. Nature surely taps this inexhaustible field. As in a Tornado. The proboscis of a tornado has enough negative-G potential to loft a house or a 200-ton locomotive skyward. Cars fly half a mile or more skyward. Spin, and vortex-phenomena tap ZPE, vor VECTOR-FORCE.

[Beamshipcaptain](#) (1 day ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

The fact that devices have been and are built that tap the zero-point, or tachyon-sea, or Dirac-Sea, or Fermi-Sea are NOT extraordinary, they are confirmations of theoretical-math for 75-years. May exists, I have seen and touched some with my own two hands; Google: the Bohm-Aharonov Effect.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

+1  

(Reply) (Spam)

These matrix values apply to the material quantities of its construction and that in effect would make the SEG a "possible" converter of ambient energy if only because the concept does indeed take this matter in to consideration.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

+1  

(Reply) (Spam)

The SEG concept must therefore provide some means or technique by which to convert this random energy in to something other than random to be viable or possible. Prof. Searl's solution resides within mathematical matrix he calls "Law of Squares". The matrix represents random energy in time and space. It takes the random values and sums uniform values and that appears to be the foundations of the SEG.

[flowerbower](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

Oh dear. You were doing so well. It all sounded so reasonable. And then you bring in Searl's ridiculous numerology. His 'matrix' is a 'magic square' which dates from the Middle Ages. There are 879 other arrangements of this 'Franklin square' (named after the famous kite-flyer). That is about as close as his matrix will ever get to any aspect of flying!

[Beamshipcaptain](#) (1 day ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

Flowerbower has not read, nor is capable of understanding simple theoretical or other math matrices like the Law Of Th Squares, so he poo poos the man and the tech. He fakes UFO photographs. What kind of person acts this way?

[flowerbower](#) (9 hours ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

The 'Law of the Squares' is numerological drivel. The very fact that you put any store in it immediately marks you out as being a loony-tune of the first order (as if all of your other posts had not already proved it!).

[flowerbower](#) (9 hours ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

I seem to recall that Searl writes somewhere that he has calculated magic squares up to 'level 10'. Perhaps you could ask him what the 'magic number' of a 10x10 square is, and how many different ones are possible. UC, I wonder whether he has really 'done the work' or whether it is just another lie.

He must be as dumb as a brick wall – no magic is involved here – just a pathway for success in technology.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

+1  

(Reply) (Spam)

This random state of energy manifests as local temperatures but it does not make for electrical currents at the macroscopic level unless there is means of controlling that state from a random to a uniform state. So is it possible? Indeed nature does have many examples for us; so again it is "possible". One man made example is the ENECO Thermal Chip, a new type of semiconductor device that converts temperature energy directly to electricity that "seems" to violate the 2nd law.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

+1  

(Reply) (Spam)

Unless the temperature of the atmosphere is absolutely zero, the answer is affirmative; vast amounts energy exists at the atom level in a chaotic state. Considering that the SEG temperature drops as electrical current is drawn than it is "possible" if it can convert it from a random to a uniform quantum state. So yes the energy does exist for such a concept with the abundance of kinetic energy of the atoms, electrons and photons to say the least.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

This device still needs to be powered in order for the rollers to race and orbit around the stator. The Prof. Searl's revolutionary solution is to draw it from the local atmosphere, but here we only ask is "possible"? Well, we first must ask does ambient energy exist in the first place.

[flowerbower](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

Of course 'ambient energy' exists. One has only to calculate the total heat energy of the room around one. It comes to quite a lot. But that is not the main consideration: having found a source, one has to find a sink. Work is done/extracted only when energy flows from source to sink.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

The SEG concept clearly has the core principles of an electric/generator with the stator and rotors attributes, so I will assign a "possible" (actually it is confirmed). It is interesting how the magnetic arrangement along with the physical configuration couples together to form auto-magnetic spacing of the rollers and yet magnetically supports the roller at the same time. This is high in function while maintaining simplicity in form; these are the hallmarks of brilliance in design.

[flowerbower](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

All of the 'core principles' IF ones leaves out the 'small' detail that it does not have any power source. Where do you put perpetual motion on your scale of possibilities? You may have forgotten that, although the internet is awash with amateur free-energy nonsense, the real scientific world is not impressed. So, forget the irrelevant foot-notes from old textbooks and try to retain a little common sense.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

The SEG concept can be isolated by way of all of attributes and I can qualify each of them simply with either a "possible" or "impossible". This can effectively assist in determining if we dealing with advanced technology or not. Interesting enough, it does have many "possible" technical attributes such as the "magnetic bearing effect".

[PlatinumEgg](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

You are lucky I do not insult you on your "Scatterbrain syndrome", this inability to stay focused on the topic. This is an extremist behavior characteristic of past or present substance abuse or at least an abnormal brain prone to ranting spates.

Yes many readers of youtube clearly wonder: what is the matter with this idiot – who is so insane to continue to tell the world that he is ignorant in knowledge – but think he is clever and important person – that is why I think Dr. D. is flowerbower, if not then some imposter is at work to discredit him – if so why use me to do that?

[flowerbower](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

Look more carefully: I respond to every comment made by others. It is they who are always 'shifting their ground'. They, and you, never respond to my questions. For example: do you believe that it will also levitate and cure burns?

[PlatinumEgg](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

As Max Planck once said, "An important scientific innovation rarely makes its way by gradually winning over and converting its opponents: it rarely happens that Saul becomes Paul. What does happen is that its opponents gradually die out, and that the growing generation is familiarized with the ideas from the beginning."

[flowerbower](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

Very true: in the 1908 volume of The Philosophical Magazine, some of the papers were using aether-theory to explain experimental results, and other papers were saying what a stupid idea the aether had always been! Unfortunately, the SEG is not 'an important innovation'. It is rubbish.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (4 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

Quoting Maxwell "The truth of the second law is ... a statistical, not a mathematical, truth, for it depends on the fact that the bodies we deal with consist of millions of molecules... Hence the second law of thermodynamics is continually being violated, and that to a considerable extent, in any sufficiently small group of molecules belonging to a real body." (J. C. Maxwell, "Tait's Thermodynamics II," Nature 17, 278--280 [7 February 1878]).

[flowerbower](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

I think that you are forgetting all of the intervening work which has been done, since then, on Maxwell's Demon. The second law has survived all theoretical attacks on it. Also, what happens in microscopic volumes is irrelevant to the SEG.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

Now your practically insulting my knowledge of physics with simple concepts such as Lenz law and heating. Do I really need to walk you thru it to know what I mean or of the possibilities that I am leading to? I am sorry but you are not the good guy, I think all you have a simple grudge.

[flowerbower](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

You are lucky that I do not insult your knowledge of English as well: "your" is not the same thing as "you're". I think that it is hilarious that anyone can believe in this contraption. Indeed, belief in it is prima facie proof of an ignorance of physics.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

I have taken the time to review the data further from the searlsolution & swallowcommand sites and I see you have developed a bad reputation. Since I have already caught you misrepresenting the facts, it is becoming clear to me you are not an honest broker of facts regarding the subject matter.

[flowerbower](#) (3 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

What misrepresentation? To a Searl-worshipper, any statement of universally accepted scientific fact is 'misrepresentation'.

What a jealous person flowerbower is – he sure has a compulsive hate for Searl – wonder why? Has he invented something or claimed to have and Searl work is taking away interests in his work?
POSSIBLE!

[PlatinumEgg](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

+1 

(Reply) (Spam)

Nature violates the 2nd Law routinely at the microscopic level, infact it not even apply at that level, but the 2nd law is pretty good estimate for practical purposes at the macroscopic level. I think there lays the answer to the SEG source and conversion of energy if it be so.

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1 

(Reply) (Spam)

Do you really mean 'microscopic level' or do you mean the 'quantum level'. Even in the case of quantum mechanics, the apparent violation is an 'illusion'. What puzzles me is why you immediately assume that the SEG is a real device. Where is the proof? Do you also believe that it can levitate? How do you explain that? Swallow one lie, and you have to swallow them all!

[PlatinumEgg](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0 

(Reply) (Spam)

Do your research, static fields can brake, but inductions is another matter..

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-2 

(Reply) (Spam)

As I said, I now seriously doubt that you are an electrical engineer. OTOH, perhaps you are one of the many electrical engineers who are completely ignorant of the relevant physics. Have you never noticed that objects subjected to induction fields get hot? Now recall Lenz's law.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0 

(Reply) (Spam)

Furthermore, you are making a big mistake in assuming it will simply brakes because that only applies to static magnetic fields (still serves to lift it), according the classic SEG concept the large ring has impressed a magnetic waveform, therefore the undulating flux should impressed eddy currents and induce auto-commutation as it would with a linear induction motor. Interestingly, the roller's copper layer functions as slip rings on rotor so that does make sense.


[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1 

(Reply) (Spam)

I am now beginning to doubt even that you are an electrical engineer. How can any of the fields be 'static' in a rotating system? Even a permanent magnet will 'appear' to be a varying-field electromagnet to a passing piece of metal. Because of your blind acceptance of the SEG, and your dubious physical insights, I am beginning to suspect that you may be Fernando Morris.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0 

(Reply) (Spam)

No, it does not depend on zero point energy, according to the references, it depends on the conversion of environmental sources of energy, mostly of the thermal electromagnetic band which would explain why the device get colder as energy drawn out of it.

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1 

(Reply) (Spam)

That would mean that the first law of thermodynamics was not being violated. Fine, that is how transpiration cooling works. However, it definitely means that the second law is being violated. What makes the heat energy flow UP the temperature gradient? And what makes you believe that it gets cold? Searl says a lot of things. Very few are true.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1 

(Reply) (Spam)

From what I have gathered so far, the SEG is a converter of energy, if that is the case there is no point labeling this device as something that violating the laws of conservations, rather it needs to be understood before we cast judgment.

That is certainly a good point which many over look – many make judgment who has never been involved in this

Research field – time track records show that this state has always been the same and clearly will always remain the same right through out time regardless – everything is impossible by the experts – strange that such products are in every day use and I guess that 99 per cent of the population even know that they have things impossible which were claimed by experts actually in use in their home. May be we are just imagining we have these things!

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

([Reply](#)) ([Spam](#))

That all depends upon whether one believes that zero point energy can be obtained from the vacuum. I do not deny that it might be possible, but current theoretical calculations suggest that one would have to put in more energy than one got out.

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

([Reply](#)) ([Spam](#))

There already exist rotary motors which run with no apparent input. Professor (genuine) Jefimenko has built electrostatic motors which exploit the vertical pd at the Earth's surface. However, the energy output is so low that it would take a long time even to repay the construction costs.

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

([Reply](#)) ([Spam](#))

And against all this, we have to weigh the facts that Searl has been promising it 'on the back of' numerology, prophetic dreams, faked photographs, outright lies, dubious financial dealings and a complete ignorance of scientific knowledge and norms.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

([Reply](#)) ([Spam](#))

Perhaps flowerbower you are just mechanically inclined but lack the electromagnetic skills to understand it, since you avoided commenting the confirmation that this design can theoretically develop a "magnetic bearing".

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

([Reply](#)) ([Spam](#))

I think that you really have no idea with whom you are dealing. You are obviously an electrical engineer; the class of trained person who, in my experience, is most likely to fall for crackpot 'science'. I have pointed out that this contraption closely resembles a conventional roller-bearing ...

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

([Reply](#)) ([Spam](#))

continued: It is an old trick to pass a current across the races and make it function as a crude motor. I believe that this is where Searl got the idea. As to whether the rollers will levitate stably in the SEG, I doubt that very much and, even if they did, there would be braking effects.

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

([Reply](#)) ([Spam](#))

continued: Magnetic bearings already exist in which one ring effectively levitates within another (although Earnshaw's theorem has to be satisfied using an axial thrust). Such bearings do not require intervening rollers, so what purpose to they serve in the SEG?

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

([Reply](#)) ([Spam](#))

On the subject of Earnshaw's theorem, you may like to know that an apparent exception (stable levitation of a static object) has recently been discovered by physicists. News of this has probably not yet filtered through to electrical engineers.

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

([Reply](#)) ([Spam](#))

But, to be fair, at the same INE conference at which Searl once 'lectured' it was demonstrated that a magnet could be levitated over 2 revolving horizontal metal rollers. I have never seen this effect mentioned in a physics journal. OTOH, it IS a rather obvious extension of the 'jumping ring' demonstration.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

Interestingly, this device has passed my orthogonal electric/magnetic vector analysis and therefore it is technically a motor/generator, we can not deny that since the electric-magnetic-velocity vectors are correctly all 90 degrees from each other. I think the design warrants further technical investigating.

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

What exactly have you analyzed; the mock-up or the 'genuine' device? There is little point in performing detailed vector analysis, if the entire contraption infringes conservation laws.

[flowerbower](#) (6 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

Above all, I would know that I could make a model 'proof-of-principle' glider out of a piece of paper, or even buy a model flying helicopter from a shop. That is how all real 'innovations' happen. They have a history and a provable principle. Searl has nothing of the sort.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

Really now flowerbower, are you so negative it would suit you just fine to be a high priest in the middle-ages, imagine how much more harm you could have done to some of the great innovators of human history...

[flowerbower](#) (6 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

Well, let's look at that. The case which is usually brought up here is that of the Wright brothers. So, there I am outside of their bicycle shop. Do I point and laugh? No. For I would know that they were masters of 19th century mainstream aerodynamic knowledge, and had contributed to it.

[flowerbower](#) (6 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

continued: I would know that Sir George Cayley had flown his footman in an unpowered glider decades before. I would know that a compressed-air model plane had flown several miles across the Potomac. I would know that several rivals of the Wrights had been killed by 'falling out of the sky'.

[flowerbower](#) (6 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

It would be obvious to me, and any other close observers, that the main problem was the production of a high power/weight ratio motor. I would know that the Wrights had such a motor. I would have seen their previous, short, hops. So would I, could I, have harmed them?

[flowerbower](#) (6 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

My day-job involves bringing, to the attention of others, the state-of-the-art research going on in universities and private companies worldwide. I am not negative, except when it comes to pseudoscience and science-based fraud. I am then insufferable, and I mean that most sincerely, folks. Why don't you 'wait for the other ring to drop'?

[upliftin](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

So i am still waiting for your name Flowerbower....why not give it to us all so we can check you out....you will not because you are afraid we might find out who you really are. Shoud'nt you get back to that bestseller book your writing ? and stop wasting time on here with multiple spamming!

Men and women who are employed in the mental health domain – what do you think so far – yes there is more to come from youtube.

As I too have nursed a total of 75 mental ill persons; none can I recall to mind matches this flowerbower case, interesting indeed how far he will go in his anger upon me which all the more discredits him as a human being.

[flowerbower](#) (5 days ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

As I have explained before; that would interfere with my ongoing study of Searl.

[PlatinumEgg](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

At the risk of again confirming yet another key point of the SEG principle of operation, does not the induced eddy currents (EM brake) promote a "magnetic bearing" since the rollers are free to orbit? But wait, it gets better, the rollers are experiencing fluctuating magnetic fields due to the imprinted magnetic waveform of the large stator ring...do we know what this means?

[Beamshipcaptain](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

Some nice shots of the 10-foot P-11 craft from 1969, on the ground and energised, in flight. The P-11 is the craft that was flight tested by scientists and brass at Edwards air-base in California in 1977. Its inertia-free-flight puzzled the testers, as this violated their knowlegdge. So it was not adopted, ostensibly for that reason, which is suspect. They gave same treatment to TT Brown's saucers as well.

[flowerbower](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

As I said, wooden mock-ups. No 'proof' of flight except for obviously faked photographs. I think that I prefer Anders Heerfordt's account of the events. Or rather lack of them: Searl's neighbours in Mortimer could recall nothing except Searl's arrest. I found the same. The local fire station told me that they had no record of any house fire at his address.

[Beamshipcaptain](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

Except for all of the folks who worked on building and flying the 41-built craft, and the public records of 1997 Edwards Air Base tests in California of th P-11, and the reams of footage that were taken of discs in flight by BBC and Southeren Television. DEMO-1 had the SEG installed, and it was indeed flown, and control-system was better than the P-11. You could just as easily research this YOURSELF.

[Beamshipcaptain](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

Of course. It was NOT a "house-fire". His books, papers, apparatus was deliberteily disposed of, with the sanction of Professor Searl's ex-wife. Deliberate destruction of a lifetime's work. You have very bad emanations, or vibrations. Have you ever had a light-body healing?

[flowerbower](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

It is hardly worth arguing with you: you believe anything that is contrary to well-established physical laws, but believe lunatic conspiracy theories at the drop of a hat. From the rational person's point of view, you are continually shooting yourself in the foot.

[Beamshipcaptain](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

How little you understand. The SEG does not use anything other than the same electromagnetics we use everyday, only exception is that is an OPEN system, interacting with its surroundings, instead of a COSED system. Just a different way of thinking, non-conventional. That is all.

[flowerbower](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

I agree that the mock-up does not use anything but normal electromagnetism. But what personal time-limit have you put on successful operation of the 'real' SEG? That is, will you start to lose hope after 1 month, 1 year, 1 decade ...? What is Moore's current deadline on delivery? I bet that some last-minute problem will turn up.

Well I have made no changes in this report on youtube statements – I am heading the research being stated by me

And that is final: everything is moving in a forward direction – the team has been selected and by October should be in full operation in the development of this special magnetizer to complete the equipment for now; to be able to carry out research into how cheap and how to manufacture the SEG as a mass production system – a lot of work is involved – and a university has offered to help as well and no charge add the military to this – flowerbower you are sunk true and proper in deep water.

Yes, I know this ANDERS Heerfordt's statement just to remind people that this man is a big man – ignorant – one of those who will turn to those who he knows have tried to stop this work and use their anti statements as FACT which I have reported at the time in my book – also feels that he is important to the world – the media also like to talk to those who are anti because they think all readers of their papers are stupid as the Daily Mirror reporter said to me when I went and challenge him on his article – he also stated that they write for stupid people who soon forget – we gave you publicity; that is why I have never brought a newspaper since that meeting I am not stupid..

Yes they did – I accept that issue as true – but from an idiot point of view; not from a technology point of view – even the door man at the Daily Mirror office in Holborn said to me that he don't read such a stupid paper. What more can I say

[Beamshipcaptain](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

You mean you HOPE beyond all your hopes some last minute problem will turn up.

[flowerbower](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

No. I want the finished ring to turn up as soon as possible so that I can ask, again and again, why the SEG still does not work. Which reminds me: Moore says that it will be frictionless but, even if the rollers really levitated, the moving magnets would still induce currents in the stationary rings; leading to EM braking. Or will all known laws of physics be suspended again?

[Beamshipcaptain](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

All known laws were never suspended in first place. Review your EM theory.

[flowerbower](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

0  

(Reply) (Spam)

Yes they were: all of the conservation laws, Newton's third law and, by implication, Earnshaw's theorem. Bypass all of those and the SEG becomes viable.

[Beamshipcaptain](#) (1 week ago) [Show](#) [Hide](#)

-1  

(Reply) (Spam)

No need to by pass them, if you'd study the SEG, you will see that the SEG is nothing exotic.

31: Well, he missed out the laws I have covered in this book which I am trying hard to make as simple as possible for my readers to understand; clearly he has no capability of understanding such simple statements and by passes them to avoid slipping up – so he pushes on – what a fool he is – don't he have any intelligence at all – surely he don't think that such laws will not be covered in this book – step by step I shall explain science and technology in relation to the work which I am heading – more video clips will follow upon this subject – how far will he continue his stupid attack – he has already hang himself and yet do not have the mental capacity to appreciate that issue.

32: He raves on about square 10, how insane when I have already years ago release right up to square 100 to records committees and those printers of books who agree that no one would be able to complete with me on that subject in a completion as I was clearly first.

33: I have never and never will ever claim to be an expert – as there are no experts in this
19.

field of technology yet – they will in due time be a handful of experts available, and you flowerbower are no expert in this subject and that is one point that upsets you – you have been beaten by some one: that issue blows your brain; you just cannot accept being beaten by others that issue clearly is shown here in this part of my book. Wake up you are just making an idiot of your self in public; I guess you cannot understand that point; I do feel sorry for you and your family and that is a FACT because I am a human being!

- 34: Flowerbower you are beaten; it is time to join the world of reality and accept it like human being. And as you are behaving like a spoilt child over a lollypop I will show you the correct face in both space and time mode for square 10 which for some unknown reasons appears to play some kind of magic in your brain; unfortunate for you I do not believe in magic, only reality sadly to state.

615	12	101	32	81	60	63	51	82	31	102	615
	110	23	90	43	64	59	73	40	93	20	615
	15	98	34	79	107	16	49	84	29	104	615
	108	25	88	45	27	96	75	38	95	18	615
	86	37	71	62	56	66	97	17	46	77	615
	36	87	52	61	57	67	26	106	76	47	615
	105	28	85	48	70	53	78	35	99	14	615
	19	94	39	74	54	69	44	89	24	109	615
	103	30	83	50	55	68	80	33	100	13	615
615	21	92	41	72	65	58	42	91	22	111	615
	615	615	615	615	615	615	615	615	615	615	6150

This has been done in space frame mode using value 12 for the start number.

3585	12	635	152	495	348	369	285	502	145	642	3585
	698	89	558	229	376	341	439	208	579	68	3585
	33	614	166	481	677	40	271	516	131	656	3585
	684	103	544	243	117	600	453	194	593	54	3585
	530	187	425	362	320	390	607	47	250	467	3585
	180	537	292	355	327	397	110	670	460	257	3585
	663	124	523	264	418	299	474	173	621	26	3585
	61	586	201	446	306	411	236	551	96	691	3585
	649	138	509	278	313	404	488	159	628	19	3585
3585	75	572	215	432	383	334	222	565	82	705	3585
	3585	3585	3585	3585	3585	3585	3585	3585	3585	3585	35850

This has been worked in the time frame mode using value 12 for the start number.

- 35: I just bang these two samples out for those who are intelligent and live in the world of reality, not intended for baby’s dear brother flowerbower you are still too young and far too ignorant to understand such ancient maths.
- 36: If it is not this person as shown then some impostor has signed communication with Dr. D., agree there are one who might do that he too would think he is a physicist like he claims to be a top legal lawyer and he likes mocking people but too is extremely ignorant. But I feel there more finger prints in these attacks which suggest it is not Peter but our man shown here. Yes Peter does have a certificate which states that he suffers from a mental condition which if angered will become violent and he sure does that is a fact. He will fire a fire pistol right in your face which explode fire powder into your eyes and face to blind you so he can attack you that is a fact.

- 37: One more point I shall quote here on Dr. D. some time after that interview Dr. D. wrote to Luis informing him to look for the battery when I do my next demonstration.

I SUGGESTED TO Luis to reply to him and request from him as to what type of battery should he be looking for to lift 12 tons of weight off the ground and fly around for a day or more, is it an hearing aid button battery or an AAA battery – I cannot state if he did request such information.

As you know he was one of the gang which robbed me of £350,000 of equipment containing my life's work – he too like Peter loves gathering crap to use to impress others with his great knowledge – a sad case.

Would he bend so low to write such crap and sign communication as Dr. D? _ it is possible – but I doubt it as he too has big problem coming his way in court he do not need to add more to it but agree one server details are in fact in London – Luis does live in London.

- 38: I shall close this document at this point, to allow you to study it, and you are free to present your views upon these persons as the e-mails are clearly not flowerbower but appear to be an Australian.

This document has been released by the authority of:



**Prof. John Roy Robert Searl. Head of human behavior studies.
Searl International Space Research Consortium – UK
Manned Flight Division.**


- 39: Flowerbower also shows clearly his lack of economics in relation to the production of the S.E.G. and I.G.V. which is strange for some one who claim to be a physicist, who should know that cost today for such a development are many times greater then the 50s and 60s – and to talk like it cost nothing to do – is certainly ignorance on the part of that person,

- 40: During 1968, I wrote many documents upon various conditions required for the go ahead of an international organization that would be responsible for clean energy development which included domestic, commercial, factories, and road – rail – sea- air power systems.

To this space research must play an vital part in our knowledge base – and the needs for commercial space exploration was vital for all industrial requirements, as we cannot continue to destroy this planet for our materials as we have been doing since man appeared upon planet earth, which cannot be tolerated for ever.

It's urgent time to rethink where we stand, and re-plan our objectives more positive then I witness at this stage.

NASA and the Russians are achieving success, I agree upon that issue, but not for commercial operations that is badly needed for the future of mankind.



**Searl International Space Research Consortium UK.
Tomorrows Energy and Transport systems.**

LOCATION : Mortimer – Berkshire – England.
SUBJECT : Economics.
AUTHOR : John Roy Robert Searl.

Today, in the world of reality economics play a vital part of all companies' survival, if you fail to understand this science then such companies will cease functioning as such.

My target is to create an international organization that will develop clean energy technology for the means to power domestic, commercial, factories and road – rail – sea- air transportation systems.

To this I must include deep space exploration systems that will meet commercial operations as I feel that both NASA and the Russians are proceeding down the wrong road for such operations – even though they have achieved great success.

These documents being released by me are to give my views on how this set up could be achieved that would function as that of a league of nations for advance technology for the benefits of planet Earth as a whole – IMPOSSIBLE TO ACHIEVE – maybe so – but unless some one tries to achieve such an operation – no one will ever know if it works – and that could end mans stay upon this planet for good.

41: ***ECONOMIC ORGANIZATION.***

All economies face the same basic economic problem of scarcity – and this is not a joke – it is a serious issue which I have to address with care – greed has created this situation and it will get worst as time moves forward.

This issue is critical for the future of this organization.

- 42: All economies to my understanding have three basic decisions to make; here comes the law of the squares again:
- (1): **What goods should Searl International Space Research Consortium produce?**
 - (2); **How should the goods be produced?**
 - (3): **Who should receive the goods?**
- 43: I do sincerely accept the reality that although the problem is the same for all economies, the methods of organizing a country's economy vary widely and have come to be reflected in fundamental political differences.
- 44: I also accept the reality upon modern economies is based on the principle of the *division of labour* between different productive tasks.
- Rather than each family group operating a subsistence system in which it directly produces to meet its own needs, individuals specialize in a particular activity, trading their surplus produce for the surplus of other individuals.
- 45: Today, I appreciate that this sub-dividing tasks within the production of individual goods and the use of money has facilitated the more efficient trading of individual's specialized products.
- 46: To my accepted understanding; that in consequence of this extension of the division of labour, the vast majority of workers in an economy are employed by firms or the State rather than working directly on their own behalf.
- 47: I also agree that although there are sophisticated refinements, the basic economic decisions outlined above are essentially made by two different methods – here we go again the law of the squares at work:
- (1): planning or the market mechanism.
- 48: As I understand it that in most developed Western economies, the primary means to resource allocation is through the determination of prices, formed by the interaction of buyers and sellers in markets.
- 49: My understanding is that a market exists wherever buyers and sellers of a good or service can interact:
- There is no necessity for them actually to meet one another.
- 50: Which I appreciate, that some markets consist of newspaper, radio or television advertisements placed by sellers, followed by postal or telephone transactions; others are conducted mainly by telex or telephone messages which I have been doing this methods since 1963, and many still involve personal face-to-face contacts, ranging from the local street market to the Stock Exchange.
- Well more precise; I have not yet used the Stock Exchange – though if my intentions become reality then I shall have to use the Stock Exchange I guess - to obtain the mass of materials which shall be required for our development market business at a price base line suitable to our needs to create that market which in the near future will become a matter of urgency.

51: **EXCHANGE.**

The principle of exchange is simple, or to my mind at least: each party seeks to further its own interest, so that an exchange will be agreed when it is considered to be the mutual benefit of the parties involved.

52: In a product market, for example, the consumer is aiming to satisfy a particular want, which I greatly appreciate that fact from my human behavior studies, and will therefore take into account such factors as price (relative to that offered by other sellers) which represents a nature function of the Homo sapiens mind, and other issues such as convenience, anticipated satisfaction, alternative goods which might be purchased and so on.

53: Searl International Space research Consortium as the producer is aiming to make a profit to expand their research and development program and must consider factors such as the price buyers are prepared to pay relative to the cost of production, the possibility of greater profits by selling in different markets, the price charged by producers of similar goods, etc.

There are many companies selling generators – to which Searl International Space Research Consortium will have to aim at and win a part of that market share. Not to displace those already have a cut of that cake, but to fill in that growing gap for clean energy in the near future that will become a major issue. That must be our market target because solar cells and wind power will never solve these planet requirements on the ever growing needs for energy.

54: In the absence of *force majority*, a transaction would signify the fulfillment of both parties' objectives.

55: Precisely the same principle applies in factor markets.

56: A worker will consider the relative advantages of working for one company or of being unemployed or self-employed, just as the employer will consider the relative benefits of employing one worker or another, or of substituting other factors in place of labour and so forth.

57: **DECISION MAKING VIA THE MARKET MECHANISM.**




Figure 13.1 1968, This is my opinion of the market function system that must be considered.

58: As I have shown in Figure 13.1, a hypothetical economic system is shown in which all the decisions – what, how and for whom to produce – results from the free interaction of buyers and sellers in markets.

59: At this moment in time I am assuming that there is no direct government intervention in the economy.

60: The model is divided into two sectors:

(1): *The households, who own the economy's productive resources.*

(2): *The business sector, which produces goods and services.*

Two main flows are shown:

(3) *A physical flow of goods and services, and a money flow representing payments for the goods and services.*

(4): *In order to produce, the business sector needs to buy factor services, and in order to consume, the households need to gain income by selling the factor services they own.*

61: Thus, there are according to the law of the squares two types of market:

(1): ***FACTOR MARKET.***

Where the households supply factor services: which are demanded by the business sector, in return for factor incomes.

(2): ***PRODUCT MARKETS.***

Where the business sector supplies goods and services: which are demanded by the households, in return for payments which are termed '*consumption expenditure*'.

62: The diagram shows a third flow of intermediate transactions, where business units buy goods and services from each other as one stage in the production of goods and services for the household sector.

63: It is to my way of thinking that it is useful to make an initial assumption that every market is highly competitive:

Households seek to maximize their satisfaction and Searl International Space Research Consortium to maximize their profits; no individual consumer or firm is able to influence the market price; there is no collusion between households or business units.

64: To my understanding, in each market a price would be formed by the free interaction of demand and supply.

65: The equilibrium price for any good or service is the price at which the quantity consumers are able and willing to buy in a given time period is exactly match by the quantity which Searl International Space Research Consortium are able and willing to supply. That is a fact of reality that one can only produce so many units per line per day.

- 66: If any individual firm tries to charge a higher price, consumers will go to other firms selling the same product, and no firm will sell at a lower price since they can sell the quantity they wish at the prevailing market price (by definition).
- 67: It is the existence of a large number of competing buyers and sellers in every market which prevents individual actions from affecting the market price.
- 68: This competitive market system is referred to by a variety of titles, the most usual one being '*the price system*'.

Alternatives include the '*free market*' economy or the '*private enterprise*' system.

- 69: The most significant feature of the model is that the basic economic factors – consumers and firms – pursuing what they perceive to be their own best interests.

70: ***WHAT TO PRODUCE?***

If consumer's demand for a good exceeds the quantity supplied at a given price, competition for the good between consumers will raise the price until an equilibrium is achieved, which is a nature function of the Homo sapiens in such market conditions.

- 71: This is likely to result in higher than normal profits for firms supplying the good, which will act as a signal for new firms to come into the market.

This will require new factor services to be attracted into the market: an increase in demand, which will rise the price of the factor services involved, diverts some scarce resources from their alternative uses.

- 72: As I see it; that as more firms compete to sell the increase output of the good, the prices will tend to fall.

This in turn reduces profits, and once these have reached what is considered a '*normal*' level, there will no longer be an incentive for more firms to enter the industry.

- 73: Similarly, the increased supply of factor services will be stemmed once the rewards to be earned have return to a level which is insufficient to divert them from alternative uses.

This function is a nature event; as I have witnessed it from my human behaviour studies – which appear similar behaviour in most of the animal and bird domain, but in their case its either food requirements or breeding requirements. They do not have a money GOD.

- 74: The initial '*disequilibrium*' in this example has triggered a series of reactions:

The wishes of the consumers for more of the good have been satisfied, profits have reverted to a 'normal' level and more resources have been directed to the production of the good.

- 75: All this has occurred without any co-ordinated planning or direction.

The decision as to '*what to produce?*' is made according to the demand of consumers:

A situation sometimes referred to as *consumer sovereignty*. Yes that is a wonderful way to look at reality of running a business.

76: ***HOW TO PRODUCE?***

I have tried hard to show that the price for any good is determined in the market place and cannot be influenced by the actions of an individual firm.

Searl International Space Research Consortium fully understands this reality of the business domain, and has no problem with this issue; agree we are trying to find a solution for international operation which is absolutely honest in all its functions regardless to which country it operates.

77: I also appreciate; that it is obviously clear to my mind that if each Searl Effect Generator (S.E.G) that Searl International Space Research Consortium sells costs it more to produce than the market price, then Searl International Space Research Consortium will make a loss and would eventually go out of business, which also applies to any other firm regardless of who.

78: Thus, there is an incentive for Searl International Space Research Consortium to research raw materials and technology as machinery and tools to produce as efficiently as possible and thereby reduce their average costs.

79: This will involve determining the best combination of factors and ensuring the best possible output from the chosen set of inputs.

In this way, and again without co-ordinated planning or direction, the most efficient use of the economy's scarce resources is ensured.

80: Searl International Space Research Consortium is clearly at this stage purely a research establishment to evaluate concepts that offers the possibility of a market product.

The Searl Effect Generator concept has been accepted as a best option for clean energy use by both patents officials and solicitors as a marketable product if we decide to go to the marketplace with it.

81: ***FOR WHOM TO PRODUCE?***

Agree that given that consumer demand determines what is produced, the key factor to my mind is in deciding who gets what is the ability to pay; this is the point of reality not fantasy.

82: In the world of Reality; business is dependent on the incomes of consumers, which in turn are determined by the prices of the factor services which they are able to sell.

83: ***ADVANTAGES OF THE PRICE SYSTEM.***

The price system to my understanding to my mind appears to be an attractive theoretical proposition.

Agree whilst the motive force is self-interest (i.e. profits for firms and satisfaction of wants for consumers), the result appears to be in the best interest of the society as a whole – so most businesses might accept that opinion; unfortunate I cannot outright accept that view – based upon my impressed observation data which suggest that it works well for the rich but does not for the poor, somehow a change is needed to correct that imbalance between rich and poor; this issue applies across the globe, somehow a solution must be found to this growing problem.

84: I can understand that without coercion, resources are directed to where they are needed (*and re-directed as demand changes over time*), consumers get the goods they demand, competition prevents abnormal profits in the long run and resources to my mind are used to maximum efficiency.

85: I readily accept the fact that it is readily apparent that the assumptions on which the model is based are unlikely to be exactly matched in any actual market – but Searl International Space Research Consortium is determined to create a business based which is honest and sincere to all its future consumers regardless of whom.

86: For example, I am quite aware that some firms account for such a large share of individual markets that they can influence the market price by their own actions – gold is one sample – energy is another both transport and housing are good examples I what I mean.

I also accept the issue that in other markets, some firms or consumers might be inclined to act together (*'collude'*) for this purpose.

87: I sincerely accept the opinion that most proponents of the market mechanism recognize the need for some element of government intervention in the economy to ensure that markets behave as if they were as competitive as the model suggests, and to protect consumer interests.

Through out my many newsletters and books to date you will see my communications with world governments and their replies; this I shall continue to hammer the governments to join us in the research and development undertaking – but clearly the big energy producers control them so they cannot be seen to be involved at this time of writing – but I know for certainly that state will change before I die.

88: I also accept the fact that given this proviso, the price mechanism remains the prime means of resource allocation in most western economies, and thousands of goods and services are produced and distributed in essentially the way the model predicts.

I am also aware that many people fear this technology that it will put them out of business – but in the world of reality it will not do so – but it will open up new domains of business which are not possible now due to costs that would be involved.

In the world of reality there are not enough adults in the world to fill the job vacancies which the Searl Effect generator will generate over time – there are no limit in the job domain; if energy and transportation was much cheaper then it is today. That is what has restricted employment energy and transport cost; and it will always be so under the present system of pricing, be the major problem.

89: This document was release under the authority of:



John Roy Robert Searl

Head of human behaviour studies 1968.

90: *Up to 1968 everything which my team achieved was used to test the masses reactions to objects unknown to them – many students were used I tests and unexpected public were also subject to tests and all reactions were recorded and were presented as reports within my newsletters and books.*

At no time during that early section of my life did commercialization of such technology even entered my world of reality as an agreement not to go commercial in any form was honoured at all time by me; and not until 1968 when half of the team were dead did I suggested to go into the public domain upon this development and ended that first agreement, which to my surprise created a world mass of publicity which I have never seen upon any other person to date.

91: I shall continue now with another part of Document DOC-SISRC-MAT-3, of 1968 which I have been taking diagrams from in this report – as examples of the study work which I undertook back in that time interval; now so long ago.

I shall continue upon my study of the rare earth which every one wants to use in trying to copy that period of development without that knowledge base to work from.

*DOC-SISRC-MAT-3.
DATE: 21ST August 1968.
Edition: First.*



*Searl International Space Research Consortium – UK.
Mortimer – Berkshire – England.*

LOCATION: *Headquarters – Mortimer – Berkshire – England.*
SUBJECT: *Materials reference study.*
SECTION: *Part three.*
AUTHOR: *John Roy Robert Searl.*
DIVISION: *Energy and transportation.*

92: In this world of reality all these products which the Homo sapiens take for granted which created their tomorrows; were generated by a few human beings of the yesterdays; who suffered the same way which I have done to make them possible for your enjoyment.

Without these inventors there would had been no tomorrows – who suffered insults and treats – but being human continue regardless of the hate thrown at them to create that world in which you enjoy today – without even a thank you to them in return.

That is still the state which I witness today still exist. Lack of appreciation – lack of knowledge – lack of understanding – lack of being human appears to be on the increase.


93: There is no tomorrow unless some one somewhere creates that world to be – until that function takes place you are stalemated into the present – like all the other animals are.

94: First some one somewhere must receive a trigger/s input which generates a concept based upon the data bank records in their subconscious mind which after being organized into a dream format is then transferred to the conscious mind for the owner either to act upon it or trash it.

Only a few are brave enough to act upon that information – others due to religious or education brainwashing just trash it as they do not wish to be a party of hate and abuse by the masses.

95: Let me inform you that some of these great experts which you know of never ever proved their concept – they died with the concept on paper only – some actually obtain patents.


Many of those concepts have yet to be tested and proven, guess one day some one some where will just try and see if their claims can be certified. WHO KNOWS?



96:

This is the equilibrium diagram of neodymium Nd 60 with 1% of Scandium Sc 21.

Neodymium Nd 60 was selected by me during 1946 as a rare earth freely available to the glass manufacturers in colouring glass purple or polishing glass to my understanding at that time, and it was cheap in reference to the price at this date, which I guess will continue to increase in cost as time moves forward.



97:

This is an equilibrium diagram of Neodymium Nd 60 with 1% of Tin Sn 50.

This again is just a part of a grain of sand in my knowledge bank which had to be researched to arrive at present day operations. Without this knowledge forget trying to make the S.E.G. and that is a FACT!

Unfortunate in those days trying to make copies of my hand work papers did not always come out on the photocopiers as good as my original were thus I had to accept what the shops could manage as the best that was possible; of course to day if I had the time to re-do them scanners are great for copying, but cannot replace what is missing in those old photocopies newsletters.

98: Let me assure you that this part of my study work of 1968 is massive in context, and I doubt if any website of normal size could even cope with this section of investigation alone, or in fact any other section of my study work of that period.

99: ***ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATIONS.***

I must agree to the best of my knowledge that tangible materials and *radiations* have a common energy origin, and thus bear a cosmic relation, but radiation is not matter in the ordinary sense of the term.

As I have so often stated at my lectures and in my newsletters and books that radiation is caused by vibrations, and to my accepted understanding is characterized by wavelengths rather than mass as in ordinary matter.

100: Yes, waves of high frequency and short wavelength result from the vibration of extremely small particles, such as electrons of the material atom, which are associated with the function of the Searl effect Generator (S.E.G), while those of low frequency and long wavelength arise from slow vibrations, such as those from a coil in a magnetic field.

101: Radiation are produced when materials are broken down or changed to another form, and there is then an actual loss of mass equal to the amount of energy emitted.

This is what was seen in the work in the past, and then you ask why don't we see the same now?

According to the law of the squares is structured as shown that a reverse state exist where matter is produced when energy in the form of radiation is directed upon matter, and an actual increase in the mass of the matter results.

This is a condition which must be controlled and is controlled within the function of the Searl effect generator (S.E.G) that the loss of mass is balanced with the input of mass: In a control set of function.

To my understanding from my studies I can accept as reality that all materials in nature are being constantly bombarded with various radiations, but it requires such an extremely large amount of energy to produce the most minute quantities of matter that the continuous changes in most materials are not noticeable in any historic period of time.

102: My understanding of the spectrum of the electromagnetic radiation extends from wavelengths of many hundred-millionths of a centimeter, or infinitely small, to wavelengths of many kilometers, or infinitely large.

To my understanding the velocity of these waves is the same for all lengths of wave, 186,000 mi/s.

- 103: By now those of you who have read my newsletters and books know my interest in lighting for parties and special effects, and you know that to my mind; in the spectrum, the light waves which make objects visible to the Homo sapiens eyes form only a small part.

The Homo sapiens eye can see through only such materials as these light waves will penetrate.

- 104: But electrical eyes can be made to operate in other wavelengths and record vision not seen by the Homo sapiens eye.

I appreciate that not all animals see with the same wavelengths, and some animals do not have normal eyes but receive vibrations through special receiving of the body.

- 105: As I have so often stated that different materials transmit, absorb, or reflect radiation differently

Quartz and *Glass*, normally called transparent, transmit only a small band of light and heat waves, but will not pass very short radiations.

But by changing the composition of the glass the heat waves can be blocked, or some of the very short waves can be passed through.

- 106: Some materials, like *Lead Pb 82*, will block the very short waves, and can be used for X-ray shields.

Other materials, like *Beryllium Be 4*, will pass only very short waves, and can be used for selective windows.

Take *silver Ag 47*, which will reflect 90% of visible light, while *Tin Sn 50* reflects only 70%, but *Silver* loses reflectivity in *Sulfur S 16* atmospheres.

Gold Au 79 reflects only 61% of visible light, but has high reflectivity of infrared rays, useful for electronic purposes – don't you agree?

All materials are sensitive to particular light waves and emit electrons when struck by those waves.

Zinc Zn 30 is sensitive to very short ultraviolet light.

Cesium Cs 55 is sensitive to green light.

Potassium K 19 is sensitive to blue light.

This property is the basis of electronic colour selectors.

- 107: It is also the basis for the operation of photoelectric cells, in which the liberated electrons constitute an electric current.

Such cells are widely used as automatic switches for electronic conversion of light intensities to sound waves.

- 108: This is why I have stated that I believe that the magnetic spectrum is similar in nature as the light band is – and the S.E.G. magnetic system using only one part of that band, thus the field is stronger and more powerful than the normal magnet is.

109: This document has been released to the public by the authority of:



John Roy Robert Searl.

***Head of research and development of clean energy and transportation systems.
Superintendent of documents UK.***


- 110: The reason why I have to release pass documents is to let you all know what happen in the past; which a certain idiot who is running a hate campaign against me named Flowerbower; in public don't know about: because he thinks he is clever – than everyone else – clearly he thinks you are all stupid – when he knocks me he is also knocking everyone who is helping to create a better world for all mankind – Just to inform everyone that I do not have to prove a thing to him because I have not applied for a job to him – I do not have to because I am employing people from all over the globe in this development work – the past is gone criminals done they work to destroy that past – now that recreation is in process not in the public domain as in the past but in the industry domain that will see this technology will get to the marketplace.
- 111: I have been forced to take this route due to the fact of the last major robbery on Monday August 25th 2003 leaves me on just a pension; no matter how much I starve to save money to re-bye equipment it would take many years to recover such equipment that would match that stolen from me - to that date period.

As my engineer in the States could only work on the work when he had some free time would had taken a long time to make the first plate and roller sets, which is as far as his equipment would had allow him to go. Space and costly equipment limit what he would have been able to achieve.

I had to release video clips on youtube to find a suitable place and work force and from that moment in time Flowerbower started his campaign of hate because his image had been tarnished by that demonstration – I knew right away who it was but I needed him to hang himself – so agreed to let him ride of cause the rope is now around his neck firmly he can be charged but there is no hurry there is a much greater shock coming for him on television from which he might save courts time by hanging himself, to save the embarrassment to the university that is if he actually work in one, which is in question at this time.

- 112: Back there in 1968 when I decide to go commercial; many legal documents on the research and operational conditions had to be prepared for official acceptance on my plans of operations; one of which has already been seen in this present book and I shall now again release part one of another document of that time interval of my life work, as the full report is far to long to release here in one go. But before I start that report let me thank you all who are standing up to flowerbower and telling him to shut up which I gladly appreciate your support at this time of recovering from the past – the work is coming up to steam late this month of October 2007.

GUARANTEE



**NO NOISE
NO POLLUTION
NO HEAT
NO VIBRATION
NO CONSUMPTION OF EARTH'S OXYGEN
NO NEED TO CARRY FUEL
NO RUNWAYS
NO LAUNCH PADS**

WE ARE THE TOMORROW PEOPLE. THE DECISION MAKERS.

**TOMORROW'S TRANSPORTATION TODAY.
DEVELOPMENT/RESEARCH BY
SEARL NATIONAL SPACE RESEARCH CONSORTIUM UK.**




***1968 THIS WAS THE PLAN TO DEVELOP SUCH A VEHICLE FOR
COMMERCIAL USE FOR DEEP SPACE EXPLORATION – WHO KNOWS
WHAT THE FUTURE HOLDS IT MAY STILL BECOME REALITY!***

113: Unfortunate I am under restrictions to confirm that side of what is going on at this time so I will release another document which will apply to the present operation in the year 2007. Thus helping you to understand what is reality and what is not – that is the key issue of all my writings regardless what subject is being discussed.

DOC-SISRC-MFD-AOC-1-1.

DATE: 18TH August 1968.

EDITION: First.



**Searl International Space Research Consortium.
Mortimer – Berkshire – England.**

LOCATION: *Headquarters – Berkshire – England.*
DIVISION: *Manned Flight.*
SUBJECT: *Air Operator's Certificate.*
AUTHOR: *John Roy Robert Searl.*
STATUS: *Head of research and development.*

The slender disc is a new concept in flight technology – whose operational functions has to be researched and developed to meet all present days' conventional requirements for all flight vehicles regardless.

First we must understand those requirements; from which I can start the design function of a concept for research and development.

Thus these documentations are a vital issue for the success of a concept to become a reality, without that knowledge you are grounded.

114: **PURPOSE.**

The purpose of this publication is to explain the administrative procedure for the issue and variations of *Air Operator's Certificates*, and to indicate requirements to be met by operators in respect of equipment, organization, staffing, training and other matters affecting the operation of the slender disc.

115: **APPLICABILITY.**

Operator Certification and the associated requirements apply to a wide range of activity, from short air taxi and pleasure flights to world-wide airline operations.

In the statutory provisions few distinctions are drawn between small scale operations with light aircraft and major airline undertakings, for the basic principles of sound operating practice are essentially similar at all levels.

But in the application of these principles, and of certification requirements, it is possible and it is necessary to take account of the scale and scope of the flying activity and of operation's particular circumstances.

Applicants and certificates holders may rest assured that the Authority and its inspecting staff are fully conscious of this and in dealing with certification matters will Endeavour always to adopt as flexible an approach as is consistent with the maintenance of adequate standards.

Small scale operators of light aircraft should bear this particularly in mind in reading this documentation.

116: ***COMPLIANCE WITH STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS.***

The issue of a Certificate signifies only that the holder is considered '*competent to secure the safe operation*' of the slender disc.

It does not in any way relieve the operator Searl International Space Research Consortium or the slender disc commander of his or here responsibility for compliance with statutory requirements and for the safe conduct of a particular mission.

International agreements and United Kingdom legislation are generally based on the concept that the ultimate responsibility for the safety of flight operations rests with the operator in this case Searl International Space Research Consortium and the commanders of Inverse-Gravity-vehicles.

The issue of a Certificate: and the work of the Civil Aviation Authority in that connection, do not entail any departure from this general principle.

Here is a major issue that the Civil Aviation Authority would have no understanding upon this new approach to flight – and therefore Searl International Space Consortium would have to have its own testing unit in house; but working hand in hand with the present day Civil Aviation Authority as to combine their requirements of flight to our requirements of operations. That safety in our atmosphere of both conventional and unconventional craft can function side by side without accidents.

117: To a large extent the statutory requirements relating to the operation of the Inverse-Gravity-Vehicle are written in general term.

This is in accordance with the principle on '*operator's responsibility*', and helps to facilitate the development of the operating standards and techniques best suited to particular circumstances and conditions.

The competence of operator Searl International Space Research Consortium to '*secure the safe operation*' of their Inverse-gravity-Vehicles, will therefore depend , in part, upon the manner in which Searl International Space Research Consortium applies the statutory requirements to their particular operations.

It is important, nevertheless, to appreciate that in the last resort the interpretation of the statutes is a function of the judiciary and that neither the issue of a Certificate nor the expression of any view in this document should be taken as an indication to the contrary, or as a modification of any statutory requirements.

118: ***I-G-V MAINTENANCE.***

Requirements relating to the Inverse-Gravity-vehicle maintenance arrangements are not yet contained in the relevant parts of the British Civil Airworthiness Requirements and therefore for more information will not be obtained from the Authority's Airworthiness Division but will become available from the Manned Flight Authority's Airworthiness Sector.

119: ***INSPECTORS.***

Reference is made in this document to Flight Operation Inspectors, Air Taxi Operator, Inspectors and training inspectors.

Flight Operations Inspectors and Air Taxi Operators Inspectors are authorized in accordance with the relevant statutory provisions and the conditions of Air Operator's Certificates, to examine documents, premises and equipment, to enter and remain on the flight deck of an Inverse-Gravity-Vehicle in flight, and if necessary to issue directions to prevent the I-G-V flying.

They shall have extensive experience of the operation of the slender disc and a wide knowledge of the operational aspects of airline and air taxi organization.

Training Inspectors must be particularly experienced in the techniques of training and testing pilots; that they are authorized Instrument Rating Examiners and are empowered to observe pilot's flying tests, and to inspect licenses and records of training and tests.

Suitable flying experience and other facilities are provided to maintain the qualifications of Inspectors for their work.

NOTE: All pilots must hold an Instrument Rating Certificate to fly the Inverse-Gravity-Vehicle with no exceptions.

120: The primary duty of the Inspectors is to ascertain facts and to report them, and this duty must be fully discharged.

It is however, their aim to work in close collaboration with operators of Searl International Space Research Consortium and their staff to secure through regular discussion and exchange of views the highest possible standard of operational safety.

It is hoped that a relationship of mutual respect between Inspectors and the operator's officials of Searl International Space Research Consortium, I-G-V commanders and other related crew members with whom they come into contact can be developed and maintained.

121: ***AMENDMENT.***

An amendment to any document which has been released, due to improvements, either in materials or equipment or production methods will automatically be release in the next newsletter available.

122: This ends the first part of this document and is hereby been released to the general public by the authority of:



*John Roy Robert Searl – Head of R&D
Manned Flight Division.
Superintendent of Documents UK.*

123: You may wonder why these early documents do not carry the title of Professor on them, the reason is simple; my research was not actual appreciate at that time; not until a few years later did these top rank people granted me the position of professor – yet I had been teaching top rank people for some years before that event took place and I am still teaching them today.

124: ***CAN YOU REMEMBER JUST THE HIGHLIGHTS OF 1960 SPACE FLIGHTS?***

		Launch date.	Launch vehicle	Launch weight
Tiros	1 (USA)	1 April	Thor	270 lb
Echo	1 (USA)	12 August	Thor-Delta	166 lb
Sputnik 5	(USSR)	19 August	Vostok	10,120 lb.

Yes these were the key interest – to quote all the events in space for 1960 world take a lot of space up – boy if only you knew what I know and have quoted in my books you would be shocked that one man could have such vast amount of interest across the total field of science and technology.

125: Remember when you read that crap which flowerbower blows across youtube, just bear in mind that he knows nothing of reality or worth upon my self – he just seek out crap from those he knows that peddles crap as a living and try to fool you all that it is FACT. But you are free to accept his fantasy or the reality on swallowcommand.com as it makes no difference to the events which are now operating; they still continue right to the market place regardless of flowerbower or that idiot either in India or Australia.


126: During 1970; a gentleman visited me by the name of J. P. Roos who stated that he was a scientists working with the USA underwater weaponry research laboratory. Who took great interest in the I-G-V and agreed to do some drawings on his view of such a craft, which he honoured his promised.

127: I like to remind you of his proposal to me on the next page of the craft that he visualized as a possible concept that would in some respect match what I was trying to achieve.

FIGURE 2

S.P.I. VOL. I. SECTION. 7.

SEP 13 1970
J.P. Roos



Thank you J. P. Roos for your efforts back there so long ago- finance lacking then the problem generated by Peter Barrett brought the effort to a close.

Why does greed always raise its head once you reach the top of the mountain, where the future is all down hill to the marketplace?

- 128: Yet my work is purely an extension of many great men and women over time each play a part in this success – people you may never heard of, or forgotten now – yet I have to thank them for their efforts, for without them this technology would had been impossible to create.

Yes, 3000 years before Christ China used magnetic compasses.


Yes, 250 years before Christ Archimedes studies lever, hydrostatics, mathematics; Euclid develops plane geometry; Eratosthenes estimates circumference of the earth.

- 129: These are just a few of a long list of great people who played a part in creating the tomorrows which we now exists in – but do not thing that these dates quoted here were the beginning – no it was not – others also played their part well back before these dates but time has destroyed the evidence of who and what they did that change out ways of thinking.
- 130: Even in my lifetime what we were taught at school has been change because it was assumption not fact, digs have now corrected our views upon those events – even what we were taught about our solar system planets have greatly been change and even this year a planet has been removed from the list as just a large rock
- 131: Our understanding now is based upon the efforts of those few who seek the truth of our past and those who risk their lives every day to understand how nature works, yet how many people really know of what is going on in the world that can and will one day effect their lives either by event of nature – that has already started and will soon intensify itself over the coming years.
- 132: My technology is to give hope that with the world changing to this system time will reverse its action to a reasonable level that allows the world to recover to be a better place for life to exists – but the planet is old and has suffered greatly by our greed and abuse; whether there is still a chance to change that state that we have done due to lack of knowledge; is difficult to state with certainly – but surely its worth the effort to try just for our children, children sake that they can have a future.
- 133: But alas I guess as in the past my words will fall on deaf ears as usual as such warnings of the past was ignore at a price, which have been witness so many times through history and will continue to be so in the future – for what future there may be.
- 134: I shall now tackle the next part of my physics discussion from where I ended in part 12 for the benefits of those who never had a chance at school to learn, who would like to understand this work.

These reports are from way back in time; during 1968 time period, so you can understand as a young man what I understood due to the fact of my research work required for me to understand.

In fact the creation of any new product creates excitement – but not as great as that of energy and transportation generates – because these have become our base line of existence in the western world; and many other parts of the world as well – unfortunate our systems is destroying this planet rather faster then first predicted – and yet we continue on this destructive route regardless of its outcome.

Are we brainwashed to live in the world of fantasy – for that is the view I observe.



Searl International Space Research Consortium UK
Mortimer – Berkshire – England.

LOCATION : ***Mortimer – Berkshire – England.***
SUBJECT : ***Matter is made of atoms.***
AUTHOR : ***John Roy Robert Searl.***
AUTHORITY : ***Superintendent of Documents – UK.***
PART : ***Two.***

135: This section continues from part 12 with the attempt to explain the elementary understanding of the Searl effect generator (S.E.G) and the task before me is to make it simple as humanly possible but continue in a forward direction of construction.

136: ***MATTER IS MADE OF ATOMS***

If, in some cataclysm, all of scientific knowledge were to be destroyed, and only one sentence passed onto the next generations of creatures, what statement would contain the most information in the fewest words?

That is indeed an interesting question which in most cases might draw a blank; those who offer a solution I would expect none would be the same in context; as I see it, no one every accept that possibility to ever happening, so they waste no time in reasoning a solution for such an event.

But for a rare few; I believe it would be the ***atomic hypothesis*** (or the atomic ***fact***, or whatever you wish to call it):

that all things are made of atoms; little particles that move around in perpetual motion, attracting each other when they are a little distance apart, but repelling upon being squeezed into one another.

137: One could think that was a good statement for in that one sentence, you will see, there is an ***enormous*** amount of information about the world, if just a little imagination and thinking are applied.

138: Let me try to illustrate the power of the atomic idea, lets suppose that I have a drop of water a quarter of an inch on a slide – I am using water because every one of you should understand some facts about water.

If I look at it very closely I see nothing but water – smooth, continuous water.

Even if I magnify it with the best optical microscope available – roughly two thousands times – then the water drop will be roughly forty feet across, about as big as a large room, and if I looked rather closely, I would still see relatively smooth water – but here and there small football-shaped things swimming back and forth.

At least: to my mind that is very interesting.

These are *paramecia*.

139: You may stop at this point and get so curious about the *paramecia* with their wiggling cilia and twisting bodies that you go no further, except perhaps to magnify the *paramecia* still more and see inside.

This, of course, is a subject for biology, but for the present I shall pass on and look still more closely at the water material itself, magnifying it two thousand times again.

Now the drop of water extends about fifteen miles across, and if I look very closely at it I see a kind of teeming, something which no longer has a smooth appearance; it looks something like a crowd at a football game as seen from a very great distance.

140: In order to see what this teeming is about, I shall magnify it another two hundred and fifty times and I see something similar to what is shown in Figure 13-1.




Figure 13-1. Water magnified one billion times.

141: This is a picture of water which you know and use everyday – and you are constructed from it and if there were no water then I guess you would not had existed – this picture of water magnified a billion times, but idealised in several ways.

142: In the first place, the particles are drawn in a simple manner with sharp edges, unfortunate to say

that this is inaccurate.

Secondly, for simplicity, they are sketched almost schematically in a two-dimensional arrangement, but of course they are moving around in three dimensions.

- 143: Notice that there are two kinds of “*blobs*” or circles to represent the atoms of *oxygen O 8* (black) and *hydrogen H 1* (white), and that to my understanding is that each *oxygen atom* has two *hydrogen’s* atoms tied to it.

Therefore, the natural structure of water is generated by each little group of an *oxygen atom* with its two *hydrogen’s atoms* which is called a *molecule*.

- 144: Thus the Law of the Squares is proven yet again that there are two prime states in everything in our universe:

(1): *Atoms*

(2): *Molecules*.

No different to:

(3): *Energy*

(4): *Matter*

And the Law of the Squares is right there is a dividing line between these two states.

I can add another pair:

(5): *Stationary*

(6): *Motion*.

As the Law of the Squares states that all above 6 structures / functions are involved within the structure and functions of the Searl effect generator (S.E.G).

- 145: I can improve upon this picture by idealised further in that the real particles in nature are continually jiggling and bouncing, turning and twisting around one another.

Unfortunate you will have to imagine this as a dynamic rather than a static picture.

- 146: Another thing that cannot be illustrated in a drawing is that the particles are “*stuck together*”; that they attract each other, this one pulled by that one, etc.

The whole group is “*glued together*,” so to speak.

- 167: On the other hand, the particles do not squeeze each other.

- 168: If you try to squeeze two of them too close together, they repel.

Which is no different to the effects witness with the roller sets of the S.E.G.; if they are squeezed too close together they automatically repel – a nature law of nature.

169: To my accepted understanding is that the atoms are $1 \text{ or } 2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$ in radius.

Now 10^{-8} cm is called an *angstrom* (just as another name), so I say they are *1 or 2 angstroms (A)* in radius.

170: Another way to remember their size is this:

If an apple is magnified to the size of the earth, then the atoms in the apple are approximately the size of the original apple.

171: Now imagine this great drop of water with all these jiggling particles stuck together and tagging along with each other.

To my accepted understanding is that water keeps its volume; it does not fall apart, because of the attraction of the molecules for each other.

If the drop is on a slope, where it can move from one place to another, the water will flow, but it does not just disappear – things do not just fly apart – because of the molecular attraction.

172: Now the jiggling motion is what is represented as *heat*: when I increase the temperature, I increase the motion.

If I heat the water, the jiggling increases and the volume between the atoms increases, and if the heating continues there comes a time the pull between the molecules is not enough to hold them together and they fly apart and become separated from one another.

Of course, this is how I manufacture steam out of water – by increasing the temperature; the particles fly apart because of the increased motion.

173: *Then why don't the roller sets of the Searl effect generator fly apart; as they speed up by current demand?*

Surely increase flow of electrons means increase of motion; thus increase in temperature; but the impressed image upon my vision sensors upon the function of the Searl Effect generator does not appear to illustrate such a state. WHY?

174: In Figure 13-2 I have a picture of steam.



Figure 13-2.

This picture of steam fails in one respect:

At ordinary atmospheric pressure there might be only a few molecules in a whole room, and certainly would not be as many as three in this figure.

Most squares this size certainly have none – but I accidentally have two and a half or three in the picture (*just so it would not be completely blank*).

175: Now in the case of steam I see the characteristic molecules more clearly than in the case of water.

For simplicity, the molecules are drawn so that there is a 120° angle between them.

In actual fact the angle is $105^\circ 3'$, and the distance between the centre of a *hydrogen atom* and the centre of the *oxygen atom* is 0.957 Å, so I know this molecule very well.

176: Let me see what some of the properties of steam vapour or any other gas are.

The molecules, being separated from one another, will bounce against the walls.

Imagine a room with a number of tennis balls (lets say 100 or more) bouncing around in perpetual motion.

When they bombard the wall, this pushes the wall away.

Of course I would have to push the wall back.

177: This means that the gas exerts a jittery force which our coarse sense (not being ourselves magnified a billion times) feels only as an average push.

In order to confine a gas I must apply a pressure.




Figure 13-3

Figure 13-3 shows a standard vessel for holding gases (*used in all textbooks to my knowledge*), a cylinder with a piston in it.

- 178: Now, to my mind it makes no difference what the shapes of water molecules are, so for simplicity I shall draw them as tennis balls or little dots.

These things are in perpetual motion in all directions.

- 179: So many of them are hitting the top piston all the time that to keep it from being patiently knocked out of the tank by this continuous banging, I shall have to hold the piston down by a certain force, which I call the pressure (*really, the pressure times the area is the force*).

Clearly, the force is proportional to the area, for if I increase the area but keep the number of molecules per cubic centimetre the same, I increase the number of collision with the piston in the same proportion as the area was increases.

- 180: Now let me put twice as many molecules in this tank, so as to double the density, and let them have the same speed, i.e., the same temperature.

Then, to a close approximation, the number of collisions will be doubled, and since each will be just as “*energetic*” as before, the pressure is proportional to the density.

If I consider the true nature of the forces between the atoms, I would expect a slight decrease in pressure because of the attraction between the atoms, and a slight increase because of the finite volume they occupy.

- 181: Nevertheless, to an excellent approximation, if the density is low enough that there are not many atoms, the *pressure is proportional to the density*.

- 182: I can also see something:

If I increase the temperature without changing the density of the gas, i. e, if I increase the speed of the atoms, what is going to happen to the pressure?

- 183: To my knowledge the atoms will hit harder because they are moving faster, and in addition they hit more often, so the pressure increases.

You see how simple the idea of atomic theory is – it has to be if I know it; after all I never had your education did I?

- 184: Let me consider another situation – while mind is tune on it.

Suppose that the piston moves inward, so that the atoms are slowly compressed into a smaller space.

What happens when an atom hits the moving piston?

Yes, that is a good point – I guess it would evidently it picks up speed from the collision.

I guess I could try to prove it by bouncing a ping-pong ball from a forward moving paddle, for example, and I hope that I shall find that it comes off with more speed than that with which it struck.

Special example:

If an atom happens to be standing still and the piston hits it, it will certainly move.

Clearly to my mind: that atoms are “*hotter*” when they come away from the piston than before they struck it.

Therefore all atoms which are in the vessel will have picked up speed.

185: This means that when *I compress a gas slowly, the temperature of the gas increases.*

So, under slow *compression*, a gas will increase in *temperature*, and under slow *expansion* it will *decrease* in *temperature*.

186: I think it about time that I return to my drop of water and look in another direction.

Just suppose that I decrease the temperature of my drop of water.

Suppose that the jiggling of the molecules of the atoms in the water is steadily decreasing.

I know that there are forces of attraction between the atoms, so that after a while they will not be able to jiggle so well.

What will happen at very low temperatures is indicated in figure 13-4:




Figure 13-4

The molecules lock into a new pattern which is *ice*.

187: This particular schematic diagram of ice is wrong because it is in two dimensions, but it is right qualitatively.

The interesting point is that the material has a *definite place for every atom*, and you can easily appreciate that if somehow or other I were to hold all the atoms at one end of the drop in a certain arrangement, each atom in a certain place, then because of the structure of interconnections, which is rigid, the other end miles away (*at my magnified scale*) will have a definite location.

188: So if I hold a needle of ice at one end, the other end resists my pushing it aside, unlike the case of water, in which the structure is broken down because of the increased jiggling so the atoms all move around in different ways.

The difference between solids and liquids is, then, that in a solid the atoms are arranged in some kind of an array, called a *crystalline array*, and they do not have a random position at long distances:

The position of the atoms on one side of the crystal is determined by that of other atoms millions of atoms away on the other of the crystal.

189: Let me confirm that Figure 13-4 is an invented arrangement for ice, and although it contains many of the correct features of ice, it is not the true arrangements.

One of the correct features is that there is a part of the symmetry that is hexagonal.

You can see that if I turn the picture around an axis by 120^0 , the picture returns to itself.

190: So I can prove that there is a *symmetry* in the ice which accounts for the six-sided appearance of snowflakes.

Another thing I can see from Figure 13-4 is why ice shrinks when it melts.

191: The particular crystal pattern of ice shown here has many “*holes*” in it, as does the true ice structure.

When the organization breaks down, these holes can be occupied by molecules.

192: Most simple substances, with the exception of water and type metal, *expand* upon melting, because the atoms are closely packed in the solid crystal and upon melting need more room to jiggle around, but an open structure collapses, as in the case of water.

193: Now although ice has a “*rigid*” crystalline form, its temperature can change; ice has heat.

If I wish, I can change the amount of heat.

What is the heat in the case of ice?

The atoms are not standing still.

They are jiggling and vibrating.

194: So even though there is a definite order to the crystal; a definite structure; all of the atoms are vibrating “*in place.*”

As I increase the temperature, they vibrate with greater and greater amplitude, until they shake themselves out of place.

I call this melting, which is as good a term as any for this function.

As I decrease the temperature, the vibration decreases and decreases until, at absolute zero, there is a minimum amount of vibration that the atoms can have, but not zero.

195: This phenomenon also applies to the Searl Effect Generator in reference to absolute zero the atoms vibrations will never be zero – but before it can reach absolute zero its functions changes.

- 196: This minimum amount of motion that atoms can have is not enough to melt a substance,, with one exception: **HELIUM He 2.**

Helium He 2 merely decreases the atomic motions as such as it can, but even at absolute zero, does not freeze, unless the pressure is made so great as to make the atoms squash together.

If I can increase the pressure, I can make it solidify.

- 197: This completes the issue that I wanted to discuss as it plays a vital issue in the design of the S.E.G

This document has been released to the general public by the authority of:



***John Roy Robert Searl – R&D.
Energy and Transportation systems
Superintendent of Documents – UK.***

- 198: You may wonder how we arrived at who FLOWERBOWER was – well on the first attack the world FLOWER did suggest a woman – then what woman would be so insane to make slander statements on a public domain when she is brought to justice would loose here job and have a heavy compensation bill to pay out. But there was one who was a student at a Scottish University she did in the pass do some evil statements to John Thomas would she be that insane – if so a bloody good spanking is in order for her.

Next day: the word FLOWER click on a man who is well known in gardening on TV – Alan Titchmarsh who you see in the photos in this issue – he knows nothing really about science – so why would he run such an evil attack upon me – he has not reasons.

It appear that Flower refer to Alan show that I was on – then the only other was Dr. D. after all he claimed that he was a physicist and that he would eat his words in public if I prove my project works and after all the attacks never started until after that first film clip appeared on the web – his image is smashed by that.

In some communications he signed Dr. D – he claimed that a woman started the attack and he offered to take over from her but kept her name – too lazy to change it – REALLY?

So Flowerbower who was this imaginary woman Anna Ford co-chancellor of the university?

I doubt it – why would she want to loose her job putting crap out on the web – that is what will happen to her and you in the end if proven to be true.

- 199: This evil attack upon my self has brought about a change in people more and more want to help me; which shows that it take one evil bastard to bring out the good in people – how strange – here is just one of these e-mails.

Dear Mr. Searl,

I was introduced to your website and am impressed. I would like to let you know that I am a member of an international alternative network of self-sustainable minded people who are very interested in alternative energy in all its forms.

We live close to the Earth and live a simple life of sharing and caring for one another daily. I have personally been involved in looking into developing projects in the vein of Nikola Tesla's works on "free energy" systems. Obviously, he also experienced hardship and resistance from selfish men who would rather enslave mankind in the present power system as we know it.

I sincerely hope that you are successful in your quest to share free energy systems with the rest of humanity. We must break the chains of bondage to the power companies and elite individuals who enjoy collecting off the masses.

My identical twin brother gave me some info concerning his interest in your project. So I checked it out, I like what I see. I am currently living in upstate New York outside of Albany in a small rural village called oak hill. We (our community of 60 or so) own a farm and large shop facilities where I work. Presently we are looking into setting up wind power generation. Personally, this looks good, but your work looks much better!

I would like to support you in the quest for development and distribution of your project. I am in no fashion interested in capitalizing in any way from your work. I realize greed has destroyed many in this world. I would though like to ask if you would be open to the idea of sharing this idea with thousands of people who would like to detach themselves from the system and come off the grid in a real way. I would like to share your wonderful work with all my friends internationally.

May I make the point that freely sharing is better than receiving? What I mean is simply that if you freely share this rather than keep it under wraps, then it will catch on quickly, before things can be shut down.

You will receive much support from people internationally. I would like to see free energy happen. Obviously, the powers that be don't want this to happen. My friends and I live on the premises of sharing all things in common. We live wholesome lives in a world system that is deteriorating in so many ways.

We are consciously working towards raising up an international movement that will be completely self sustainable in every way, including all energy and food needs. Please consider sharing your work with my friends and me. I am sincerely looking for others who would be interested in sharing their ideas.

We need to share together if we are all to survive the coming economic and environmental crisis internationally. We have not seen anything yet. You can "use" our community facilities if you will to work on and develop your ideas freely and without charge. Please accept this open invitation. I have never felt led to say this before, but since I was directed to you, I feel strongly that I should give you what I would hope would help you in some way.

Many of my friends are highly gifted and motivated individuals who desire nothing more than to live clean and simple and close to god. We gave up allot to get where we are today. We are in about ten countries right now. I know time is of the essence, and we all must do our part to see good prevail over evil in every way.

So I am offering you my humble services, in any way possible, since I sense that you are sincere and genuine in your work, to help you if at all possible as I believe that this would benefit all. I realize that you must need some serious funding, and would even be willing to help you in that, yet first I would like to know you and your work more and to build a relationship that would be able to blossom and bear good fruit in the future.

But for now, I would like to offer my community lands and facilities and manpower to you at no cost. I am serious. I don't claim a lot of special knowledge, but I believe that we must share our hearts and minds and work in harmony with one another in order for God's purpose to be fulfilled.

Now please do not think that I am some religious fanatic I am not. But I do believe in the one who said "Love one another as I have loved you." That is our guiding principle. I believe that this is what we all need to see free energy become a reality.

Again, I appreciate your work. I hope that I am not being too forward, but I will say, you need my friends and I to help you as much as we need you to help us. So please consider my service in any way. I am interested in helping. I would like my people to share in your work and freedom.

Our homes are yours. If this sounds good to you, please, respond to this email and let me know what you may think. I hope that if you are open to sharing your work with us and we freely help you in any way possible, that wonderful things can happen.

Thank you for your consideration, and may God bless you,

David Doten.

200: Yes that is just one of a number of e-mails received who want to help, to which I replied with a yes, but at this time extremely busy getting so many products on the move all of a sudden – its unbelievable as to how my life has changed this year.

This technology that look like the robbers had finished off this technology for good – they was no way I was going to be able to recover from that robbery from my pension money and cost increasing on top.

Then late last year I had to visit my doctor who suddenly realize who I was took a look at my website and came running to help to get this technology moving again – and it sure has move beyond all expectation – now he wished he had helped me when we first met – but as he never saw me again until that day; he never took the matter any further, until that day I had to visit the surgery in need of medication and hospital checks.

201: I guess people think that now is the right time for this technology to be re-developed and get it right to the marketplace – but over these years from 1968 when I was pushed out of the project cost has risen vastly – technology has changed – large machinery have vanished – and many new laws that must be complied with whose swear word is compliance in Europe has appeared.


Many companies which I dealt with in the past have long passed away – even the buildings have gone – and most of my past teams have gone on permanent leave – large companies are now small ones if still in operations – the world has changed – and so have I; no longer young and fit as I was back there – but that is the price that most of us pay who work to help others in need – being a Samaritan to the world brings many forms of hate and sadness that such illness can exist in the world today is unbelievable – killing one another as if it some kind of sport, is not human but evil; Certainly not a subject one should be proud of but shamed of.



Hello baby brother Flowerbowersee you are still playing with your little toy – guess that little minds love little toys is true – that is a great piece of technology you got there – did it reach the Moon? Next time I see you launch that I will look for where the battery is!

Dear Sisters and brothers sad to have to say that I must close this part of my book now because its takes hours to transfer it to the web – then much longer if either end computers crashes during transfer.

To all my readers: may the power be with you, and good health follows you all the days of your life and may your problems be few – the future looks bright – lets keep that light burning – for our children tomorrows for they depend on us – please don't let them down.



***Prof. Joy Roy Robert Searl Head of R&D
ENERGY AND TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM.***